EDI Technical Spec updates

Introduction

The details in the slides below cover the EDI Technical Spec updates for the EDI Standard messages that we will be updating to provide **an accurate view of the build.**

1. There are **NO** structural changes to the EDI messages

2. The updates are only reflected on EDI Standard messages

3. The changes highlighted in the Technical specification reflect the current build

4. Highlighting what is not supported

The list below confirms the status as at end of October 2024. Further updates may be added.

All specs remain in DRAFT until Testing has assured the accuracy on the contents. At which point we will publish FINAL versions. All feedback on the drafts is encouraged.

NEXT STEPS

1. Baseline the EDIs – this means DPS produces all EDIs that match the current spec at the points they are expected; then

2. Send the EDIs at DXC ISB to validate against production expectation

3. Test EDIs with vendor Vanguard

Velonetic

Tech spec updates – LIMCLM (Broker)

EDI Message	EDI Spec existing published link	Has the updated technical specification been published? (Y/N)	Spec Section	Update description	Reason for Change/Update
LIMRES	[LIMCLMD (incl LIMRES).pdf (velonetic.co.uk)](#)	Yes	Section 8.4 Segment GROUP 2	• GROUP 2 = maximum repeats 10 times • Updated to reflect the following: GROUP 2 = maximum repeats 20 times	The build allows Group 2 to a maximum repeats
LIMCLM (Broker)	[https://www.velonetic.co.uk/sites/default/files/2024-11/LIMCLM_%28CLMILB%29_Broker_Claim_Notification_ILU.pdf](#) [https://www.velonetic.co.uk/sites/default/files/2024-11/limclm_clmllb_broker_claim_notification_ilu.pdf](#) [https://www.velonetic.co.uk/sites/default/files/2024-11/limclm_clmlrb_broker_claim_notification_lirma.pdf](#)	Yes Yes No	All	• Fillers are stated in multiple instances • Updated to remove all instances of FILLER in the Technical specification	The fillers are a Mainframe specific element and should not be included in the standard EDI
CLMLLB	[clmllb_lloyds_broker.pdf](#)	No	Appendix 3	Lloyd's to brokers message diagram is missing - updated to include Lloyd's to broker diagram	Missing message Diagram
CLMILB	[LIMCLM_(CLMILB)_Broker_Claim_Notification_ILU.pdf](#)	Yes	Page 20	The following line removed: "This segment is not used by ILU in transmissions to members" from group 5 and group 6	Group 5 and group6 will appear in broker message not in carrier

Tech spec updates – LIMCLM (Broker)

EDI Message	EDI Spec existing published link	Has the updated technical specification been published? (Y/N)	Spec Section	Update description	Reason for Change/Update
CLMLRB	limclm_clmlrb_broker_claim_notification_lirma.pdf	Yes	Page 93	The following values in **CLAIM CATERGORY IND** to be moved to: • AB – Asbestos Bodily • AD – Accidental Damage **CLAIM SUBCATEGORY IND** TCG Reference:- None Format:- X Usage:- Conditional Values:- AB Asbestos Bodily AD Accidental Damage	The values was incorrectly added to the CLAIM CATEGORY IND field

Tech spec updates – LIMCLM (Carrier)

EDI Message	EDI Spec existing published link	Has the updated technical specification been published? (Y/N)	Spec Section	Update description	Reason for Change/Update
LIMCLM (CLMLRC)	limclm_clmlrc_carrier_claim_notification_lirma.pdf	Yes	All	• Fillers are stated in multiple instances • Updated to remove all instances of FILLER in the Technical specification	The fillers are a Mainframe specific element and should not be included in the standard EDI
			Section 5.8 Field - VESSEL/AIRCRAFT	• Vessel/Aircraft is stated as Not Used • Updated so the element will now be stated as Conditional	Technical specification needs to reflect the build and Vessel/Aircraft details are used in production messages

Tech spec updates - IPCDSM

EDI Message	EDI Spec existing published link	Has the updated technical specification been published? (Y/N)	Spec Section	Change description	Reason for Change/Update
IPCDSM	ipcdsm_message_specification_lirma.pdf	Yes	DEFERRED RECORD – D02-REC	BROKER SIGNING NUMBER should appear in location 1978 with data type PIC 9 (13)	Technical specification to reflect the build
				In D02 Deferred section, for 02 IGNORE, location define as 1964 and data type must define as PIC X (210)	Technical specification to reflect the build
				ORGINAL BROKER SIGNING NUMBER should appear in location 1965 with data type PIC 9 (13)	Technical specification to reflect the build

Velonetic

Tech spec updates - IPCDSM

EDI Message	EDI Spec existing published link	Has the updated technical specification been published? (Y/N)	Spec Section	Change description	Reason for Change/Update
IPCDSM	ipcdsm_message_specification_limra.pdf	Yes	Page 5	Field Definitions - D01 Rec details added for completeness: **RECORD-AREA PIC X(6000) VALUE SPACES** **D01REC REDEFINES HEADER-RECORD-AREA.**	D01 segment details were missing from the Technical specification and updated to reflect the actual build

FIELD	LOCATION	DATA-NAME	DATA-TYPE
1 + 2	1	02 IDENT	PIC X(4).
3	5	02 IGNORE	PIC X(9).
4	14	02 MBR-GRP-OR-ACC	PIC X(3).
5	17	02 IGNORE	PIC X(3).
6	20	02 MBR-GROUP-NO	PIC X(3).
7	23	02 WEEK-ENDING	PIC X(6).
N/A	29	02 PERIOD-START	PIC X(6).
N/A	35	02 PERIOD-END	PIC X(6).

Velonetic

Tech spec updates – ILUCSM

EDI Message	EDI Spec existing published link	Has the updated technical specification been published? (Y/N)	Spec Section	Change description	Reason for Change/Update
ILUCSM	ilucsm_companies_signing_message_ilu.pdf https://www.velonetic.co.uk/sites/default/files/2023-12/ILUCSM%20Companies%20Signing%20Message%20Appendices%20ILU.pdf	Yes	Section 2.8: Selective Data	• Selective Data section to be removed from the Technical specification	Option selected is not supported in DPS for this message
			Underwriting Year Page 107	• Underwriting Year Format is shown as 9(4) Updated to reflect the actual length used- 9(8)	Expected value should be YYYY0000

Tech spec updates – IPCCSM

EDI Message	EDI Spec existing published link	Has the updated technical specification been published? (Y/N)	Spec Section	Change description	Reason for Change/Update
IPCCSM	ipccsm_companies_signing_message_ilu.pdf	Yes	Section 2.9	• Selective Data section to be removed from the Technical specification	Option selected is not supported in DPS for this message

Tech spec updates – BSM

EDI Message	EDI Spec existing published link	Has the updated technical specification been published? (Y/N)	Spec Section	Change description	Reason for Change/Update
BSM	bsm_brokers_daily_signing_message_lloyds_ilu_and_lirma.pdf	Yes	Section 4.2: GRP Segment	MESSAGE SEQUENCE NO – to be deleted	MESSAGE SEQUENCE NO details is removed as it is not being used
			Section 5: Data Element Directory Actual Payment Date	Amended the following text to make the dates explicit, '*The date is expressed in YYYYMMDD format*, to the following format: The dates will be shown as the following: • SGN Segment - YYMMDD • SPT Segment – YYYYYMMDD	Description text was not ambiguous and need to be explicit
			Page 32 NA Amount (closing)	**Text added:** The NA Amount (closing) element will only be provided where the closing currency code is different to the settlement currency code.	Missing text added
			Page 32: Instalment Type	Removed the following statement: This element will not be provided if there is only one instalment (i.e., the transaction is a cash payment). Added the following text after the value 'C' NB: this will not be populated if there is only 1 installment	Ambiguous text updated
			Page 35	Unique Market Reference - added the following text: When a claim settlement is reported, the UMR field is used to provide the UCR	Added text for clarity

Tech spec updates – DSIGN

EDI Message	EDI Spec existing published link	Has the updated technical specification been published? (Y/N)	Spec Section	Change description	Reason for Change/Update
DSIGN	dsign_company_signing_message_lirma.pdf	Yes	Page 14: D05REC-AC-ITEMS	Only 05 D05-ITEM-NO and 05 D05-ITEM-AMOUNT are part of 03 ITEMS-TABLE which will occurs 44 time in row. Once 44 occurrences completed than start will next field i.e., 03 Filler onwards.	Added text to provide clarity on the item table repeats
			Page 12	The following 2 missing fields are to be added 135 1965 ORGINAL BROKER SIGNING NUMBER PIC 9 (13). 136 1978 BROKER SIGNING NUMBER PIC 9 (13).	Missing fields were not defined

Tech spec updates – REDIAL

EDI Message	EDI Spec existing published link	Has the updated technical specification been published? (Y/N)	Spec Section	Change description	Reason for Change/Update
REDIAL	resett_delinked_instruction.pdf	Yes	Section 9 – Error Codes	The following Error Codes to be added: • 180 INSUFFICIENT MATCHING DATA • 181 SETTLEMENT AMOUNT MUST = ORIGINAL AMOUNT WHEN SAME CURRENCIES • 182 SIGNING REFERENCE IS CANCELLED • 183 SETTLEMENT AMOUNT NOT AS ORIGINALLY ADVISED • 184 AP/RP MUST BE RELEASED AFTER ORIGINAL SIGNING • 185 LLOYDS YEAR OF ACCOUNT A FUTURE YEAR – CANNOT RELEASE/BROKER NOT REGISTERED FOR THIS MAILBOX AND APPLICATION • 186 BROKER NOT ACTIVE FOR THIS MAILBOX AND APPLICATION	Added the missing error codes

Tech spec updates – MCM

EDI Message	EDI Spec existing published link	Has the updated technical specification been published? (Y/N)	Spec Section	Change description	Reason for Change/Update
MCM	MONTHLY CONTROL MESSAGE	Yes	Page 51	Syndicate request form removed	Form is no longer applicable
			All	Example segments to be removed	These do not apply to the MCM message
				Segment ID removed from all the segments within the MCM message	To present the spec as a 'standard' EDI

Tech spec updates – USM

EDI Message	EDI Spec existing published link	Has the updated technical specification been published? (Y/N)	Spec Section	Change description	Reason for Change/Update
USM	USM Lloyd's_Underwriters_Signing_Message_V9.2.3_0.pdf	Yes	Section 4 – Message Structure	Removal of the options capability – multi-syndicate, Narrative, USM controls	DPS build does not support any of the selective options for the USM message – a customer will receive all options
			Appendix E – Request Form	Form has been removed	Form is not applicable for the DPS build